# HIGGS COUPLINGS MEASUREMENTS AND THE SCALE OF NEW PHYSICS



Spencer Chang (U. Oregon) w/ F. Abu-Ajamieh, M. Chen, M. Luty JHEP 2020, 140 (2020) and arXiv:2009.11293

Oklahoma State 2/18/21 Seminar

## PINNING DOWN HIGGS PROPERTIES



Post-discovery, goal of LHC and future colliders to measure Higgs properties to test EWSB mechanism, mass generation, and look for new physics beyond the Standard Model



### HIGGS COUPLINGS MEASUREMENTS

Fits to σ x Branching Ratios, for Higgs couplings have **IO-25%** errors and currently agree with SM value

### HIGGS COUPLINGS IN FUTURE

| kappa-0                | HL-LHC | LHeC | HE         | -LHC |      | ILC  |      |      | CLIC  |      | CEPC | FC          | C-ee        | FCC-ee/eh/hh |
|------------------------|--------|------|------------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
|                        |        |      | <b>S</b> 2 | S2′  | 250  | 500  | 1000 | 380  | 15000 | 3000 |      | 240         | 365         |              |
| κ <sub>W</sub> [%]     | 1.7    | 0.75 | 1.4        | 0.98 | 1.8  | 0.29 | 0.24 | 0.86 | 0.16  | 0.11 | 1.3  | 1.3         | 0.43        | 0.14         |
| κ <sub>Z</sub> [%]     | 1.5    | 1.2  | 1.3        | 0.9  | 0.29 | 0.23 | 0.22 | 0.5  | 0.26  | 0.23 | 0.14 | 0.20        | 0.17        | 0.12         |
| <b>к</b> g [%]         | 2.3    | 3.6  | 1.9        | 1.2  | 2.3  | 0.97 | 0.66 | 2.5  | 1.3   | 0.9  | 1.5  | 1.7         | 1.0         | 0.49         |
| κγ [%]                 | 1.9    | 7.6  | 1.6        | 1.2  | 6.7  | 3.4  | 1.9  | 98*  | 5.0   | 2.2  | 3.7  | 4.7         | 3.9         | 0.29         |
| $\kappa_{Z\gamma}$ [%] | 10.    |      | 5.7        | 3.8  | 99*  | 86×  | 85×  | 120* | 15    | 6.9  | 8.2  | 81 <b>*</b> | 75 <b>*</b> | 0.69         |
| $\kappa_c$ [%]         |        | 4.1  | -          | -    | 2.5  | 1.3  | 0.9  | 4.3  | 1.8   | 1.4  | 2.2  | 1.8         | 1.3         | 0.95         |
| κ <sub>t</sub> [%]     | 3.3    |      | 2.8        | 1.7  | -    | 6.9  | 1.6  |      | _     | 2.7  | -    |             |             | 1.0          |
| кь [%]                 | 3.6    | 2.1  | 3.2        | 2.3  | 1.8  | 0.58 | 0.48 | 1.9  | 0.46  | 0.37 | 1.2  | 1.3         | 0.67        | 0.43         |
| κμ [%]                 | 4.6    |      | 2.5        | 1.7  | 15   | 9.4  | 6.2  | 320* | 13    | 5.8  | 8.9  | 10          | 8.9         | 0.41         |
| κ <sub>τ</sub> [%]     | 1.9    | 3.3  | 1.5        | 1.1  | 1.9  | 0.70 | 0.57 | 3.0  | 1.3   | 0.88 | 1.3  | 1.4         | 0.73        | 0.44         |

Higgs@FutureColliders report (1905.03764)

Coupling sensitivities playing a role in next collider discussion



Currently only sensitive to O(10) variations, but projections estimate trilinear sensitivity to ~ [-0.2,3.6] at HL-LHC w/ 3 ab<sup>-1</sup> and 20-30% at future colliders

### TRIPLE HIGGS PROCESS

### Papaefstathiou and Sakurai See also Chien et.al.

hh and hhh at one loop e.g. Bizon et.al.



FIG. 6: The approximate expected  $2\sigma$  (blue) and  $5\sigma$  (red) exclusion regions on the  $c_3 - d_4$  plane after 30 ab<sup>-1</sup> of integrated luminosity, derived assuming a constant signal efficiency, calculated along the  $d_4 = 6c_3$  line in  $c_3 \in [-3.0, 4.0]$ .



# W/Z AND TOP COUPLINGS TO HH

7

VVhh measured in VBF DiHiggs to 4b's Bishara et.al. (1611.03860)

|                    | 68% probability interval on |                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|                    | $1 	imes \sigma_{bkg}$      | $3 \times \sigma_{bkg}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LHC <sub>14</sub>  | [-0.37, 0.45]               | [-0.43, 0.48]           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HL-LHC             | [-0.15, 0.19]               | [-0.18, 0.20]           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FCC <sub>100</sub> | [0, 0.01]                   | [-0.01, 0.01]           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sensitivity to O(.I-I) for quadratic Higgs couplings

tthh coupling probed by tthh production Li et.al. (1905.03772)



### NEW PHYSICS SCALE BOUND FROM UNITARITY VIOLATION



What are the new physics implications of a Higgs coupling deviation?

Any Higgs coupling deviation from SM prediction leads to unitarity violation at high energies, placing an upper bound on new physics. Also, leads to interesting processes to measure (see Kilian et.al. 1808.05534, Henning et.al. 1812.09299 & Stolarski, Wu 2006.09374)

# CLASSIC EXAMPLE SCATTERING $Z_L Z_L \Leftrightarrow W^+_L W^-_L$

mos ngn  $M = -c Energy^2 + ...$  $M = c Energy^2 + ...$ 

Higgs exchange cancels high energy growth if its couplings are SM-like, matrix element is unitary if m<sub>H</sub> ≈ ITeV (Lee, Quigg,Thacker), motivating LHC design

### GENERAL HIGGS COUPLINGS

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} - \delta_3 \frac{m_h^2}{2v} h^3 - \delta_4 \frac{m_h^2}{8v^2} h^4 - \sum_{n=5}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{n!} \frac{m_h^2}{v^{n-2}} h^n + \cdots \text{ Higgs Potential Couplings} \\ + \delta_{Z1} \frac{m_Z^2}{v} h Z^{\mu} Z_{\mu} + \delta_{W1} \frac{2m_W^2}{v} h W^{\mu +} W_{\mu}^{-} + \delta_{Z2} \frac{m_Z^2}{2v^2} h^2 Z^{\mu} Z_{\mu} + \delta_{W2} \frac{m_W^2}{v^2} h^2 W^{\mu +} W_{\mu}^{-} \\ + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{c_{Zn}}{n!} \frac{m_Z^2}{v^n} h^n Z^{\mu} Z_{\mu} + \frac{c_{Wn}}{n!} \frac{2m_W^2}{v^n} h^n W^{\mu +} W_{\mu}^{-} \right] + \cdots \text{W/Z Couplings} \\ - \delta_{t1} \frac{m_t}{v} h \bar{t} t - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{c_{tn}}{n!} \frac{m_t}{v^n} h^n \bar{t} t + \cdots \text{ top Couplings}$$

Any nonzero  $\delta$  or **c** coupling is a sign of new physics, which leads to unitarity violation at high energies (higher dim. operators), placing an upper bound on this new physics

### ASIDE: TECHNIQUE DETAILS

# OUR GENERAL UNITARITY VIOLATION APPROACH

 $\begin{array}{l} |P,\alpha\rangle & \mbox{Define states of total momentum P} \\ \mbox{w/ other properties } \pmb{\alpha} \ (\text{e.g. \# Higgses}) \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Properly} \\ \mbox{normalized} \end{array} & \langle P',\alpha'|P,\alpha\rangle = (2\pi)^4 \delta(P-P') \delta_{\alpha\alpha'} \end{array} \end{array}$ 

Leads to unitarity bounds  $|T_{lpha lpha'}| \leq 1$ 

 $\langle P', \alpha' | T | P, \alpha \rangle = (2\pi)^4 \delta (P - P') T_{\alpha \alpha'}$ 

Allows us to go beyond 2 to 2 processes and set better bounds

### EXAMPLE STATES

Only  
Scalars
$$\begin{aligned} |P, k_1, \dots, k_r \rangle &= C_{k_1 \cdots k_r} \int d^4 x \, e^{-iP \cdot x} \prod_{i=1}^r \left[ \phi_i^{(-)}(x) \right]^{k_i} |0\rangle \\ & \\ \frac{1}{|C_{k_1 \cdots k_r}|^2} = \frac{\prod_i k_i!}{8\pi (\sum_i k_i - 1)! (\sum_i k_i - 2)!} \left( \frac{E}{4\pi} \right)^{2\sum_i k_i - 4} \\ & \\ \frac{|P; k_1, \dots, k_r, L/R}{|C_k^{\prime\prime}|^2} = C_k^{\prime\prime} \int d^4 x \, e^{-iP \cdot x} \phi_1^{(-)}(x)^{k_1} \cdots \phi_r^{(-)}(x)^{k_r} \overline{\psi}_{R/L}^{a(-)}(x) \psi_{L/R}^{a(-)}(x) |0\rangle \\ & \\ \text{Two} \\ & \\ \text{Fermions} \quad \frac{1}{|C_k^{\prime\prime\prime}|^2} = \frac{2N_c E^2 \prod_i k_i!}{8\pi (\sum_i k_i + 1)! (\sum_i k_i)!} \left( \frac{E}{4\pi} \right)^{2\sum_i k_i} \end{aligned}$$

### EQUIVALENCETHEOREM

For general h couplings, restore SU(2)xU(1) invariance, by introducing Goldstone bosons to use equivalence theorem for W<sub>L</sub>, Z<sub>L</sub> amplitudes

Higgs self-interactions

For W/Z and

top interactions

$$X \equiv \sqrt{2|H|^2} - v = \sqrt{(v+h)^2 + \vec{G}^2 - v}$$
$$= h + \frac{1}{2v}\vec{G}^2 - \frac{1}{2v^2}h\vec{G}^2 + \cdots$$
$$P = \frac{H}{\sqrt{|H|^2}} = \binom{0}{1} + \binom{\sqrt{2}G^+/v}{iG^0/v} + \cdots$$

### END ASIDE

## EXAMPLE: TRILINEAR UNITARITY VIOLATION

Modifying trilinear from SM value automatically leads to Unitarity violation at high energies

NNŠ

m

Example:  $Z_L Z_L Z_L \iff Z_L Z_L Z_L$ 

Cancellation to get M ~ I/Energy<sup>2</sup> requires SM trilinear value!

## MODEL DEPENDENCE OF INTERACTIONS

$$\begin{split} X^3 &\sim h^3 + \vec{G}^2(h^2 + h^3 + \cdots) + \vec{G}^4(h + h^2 + \cdots) + \vec{G}^6(1 + h + \cdots) \\ &\quad + \vec{G}^8(1 + h + \cdots) + \vec{G}^{10}(1 + h + \cdots) + \cdots, \\ X^4 &\sim h^4 + \vec{G}^2(h^3 + h^4 + \cdots) + \vec{G}^4(h^2 + h^3 + \cdots) + \vec{G}^6(h + h^2 + \cdots) \\ &\quad + \vec{G}^8(1 + h + \cdots) + \vec{G}^{10}(1 + h + \cdots) + \cdots, \\ X^5 &\sim h^5 + \vec{G}^2(h^4 + h^5 + \cdots) + \vec{G}^4(h^3 + h^4 + \cdots) + \vec{G}^6(h^2 + h + \cdots) \\ &\quad + \vec{G}^8(h + h^2 + \cdots) + \vec{G}^{10}(1 + h + \cdots) + \cdots, \end{split}$$

(Schematic without coefficients, but we know cancellations can occur due to SMEFT description)

#### Terms circled can only come from trilinear!

### BEST CHANNELS FOR HIGGSTRILINEAR



(Normalized to largest deviation consistent with ATLAS and CMS di-Higgs 95%CL constraints)

Takeaway: Current constraints still allow low unitarity bound w/ nearby new physics, a measured coupling deviation from SM places an upper bound on new physics

# HIGGS TRILINEAR COUPLING DEVIATION





### Unitarity requires higher order couplings to be correlated

Quartic deviation must satisfy SMEFT-like relation,  $\delta_4 = 6\delta_3(1 + \epsilon_4)$  as predicted by  $|H|^6$ , to keep new physics above 10 TeV

## W/Z, TOP COUPLINGS

Processes that only depend on hWW, hZZ couplings

$$\begin{split} W_L^+ W_L^+ &\to W_L^+ W_L^+ : E_{\max} \simeq \frac{1.2 \text{ TeV}}{|\delta_{W1}|^{1/2}}, \\ Z_L Z_L &\to W_L^+ W_L^- : E_{\max} \simeq \frac{1.5 \text{ TeV}}{|\delta_{Z1} + \delta_{W1}|^{1/2}}, \\ W_L^+ h \to W_L^+ Z_L : E_{\max} \simeq \frac{1.0 \text{ TeV}}{|\delta_{Z1} - \delta_{W1}|^{1/2}}, \\ W_L^+ W_L^+ W_L^- \to W_L^+ Z_L : E_{\max} \simeq \frac{1.5 \text{ TeV}}{|\delta_{Z1} - \delta_{W1}|^{1/2}}. \end{split}$$

Processes that only depend on htt (and hVV) coupling

$$t_R \bar{t}_R \to W_L^+ W_L^- : E_{\max} \simeq \frac{5.1 \text{ TeV}}{|\delta_{t1} + \delta_{V1}|},$$
$$t_R \bar{b}_R \to W_L^+ h : E_{\max} \simeq \frac{3.6 \text{ TeV}}{|\delta_{t1} - \delta_{V1}|}$$
$$t_R \bar{b}_R \to W_L^+ W_L^+ W_L^- : E_{\max} \simeq \frac{3.3 \text{ TeV}}{\sqrt{|\delta_{t1} - \frac{1}{3}\delta_{V1}|}},$$

### W/Z AND TOP BOUNDS



Existing strong bounds on these couplings still allow future deviations where new physics has to appear below ~ 3-8 TeV. In fact, hVV is more powerful than h<sup>3</sup>!

### SMEFT CONSTRAINTS



 $|H|^2 |D_{\mu}H|^2 \qquad |H|^2 \bar{Q} H P_L Q + h.c.$ 

Again, for new physics to be much higher than a TeV, need a SMEFT-like structure

### WHAT DOES PRECISION BUY?

| kappa-0             | HL-LHC | LHeC | HE         | LHC  |      | ILC  |             |      | CLIC  |      | CEPC | FC          | C-ee | FCC-ee/eh/hh |
|---------------------|--------|------|------------|------|------|------|-------------|------|-------|------|------|-------------|------|--------------|
|                     |        |      | <b>S</b> 2 | S2′  | 250  | 500  | 1000        | 380  | 15000 | 3000 |      | 240         | 365  |              |
| κ <sub>W</sub> [%]  | 1.7    | 0.75 | 1.4        | 0.98 | 1.8  | 0.29 | 0.24        | 0.86 | 0.16  | 0.11 | 1.3  | 1.3         | 0.43 | 0.14         |
| κ <sub>Z</sub> [%]  | 1.5    | 1.2  | 1.3        | 0.9  | 0.29 | 0.23 | 0.22        | 0.5  | 0.26  | 0.23 | 0.14 | 0.20        | 0.17 | 0.12         |
| <b>к</b> g [%]      | 2.3    | 3.6  | 1.9        | 1.2  | 2.3  | 0.97 | 0.66        | 2.5  | 1.3   | 0.9  | 1.5  | 1.7         | 1.0  | 0.49         |
| κγ [%]              | 1.9    | 7.6  | 1.6        | 1.2  | 6.7  | 3.4  | 1.9         | 98*  | 5.0   | 2.2  | 3.7  | 4.7         | 3.9  | 0.29         |
| κ <sub>Zγ</sub> [%] | 10.    |      | 5.7        | 3.8  | 99*  | 86×  | 85 <b>*</b> | 120* | 15    | 6.9  | 8.2  | <b>81</b> * | 75×  | 0.69         |
| $\kappa_c$ [%]      |        | 4.1  | -          | _    | 2.5  | 1.3  | 0.9         | 4.3  | 1.8   | 1.4  | 2.2  | 1.8         | 1.3  | 0.95         |
| κ <sub>t</sub> [%]  | 3.3    | -    | 2.8        | 1.7  | -    | 6.9  | 1.6         | -    | _     | 2.7  | -    | -           | _    | 1.0          |
| кь [%]              | 3.6    | 2.1  | 3.2        | 2.3  | 1.8  | 0.58 | 0.48        | 1.9  | 0.46  | 0.37 | 1.2  | 1.3         | 0.67 | 0.43         |
| κμ [%]              | 4.6    |      | 2.5        | 1.7  | 15   | 9.4  | 6.2         | 320* | 13    | 5.8  | 8.9  | 10          | 8.9  | 0.41         |
| $\kappa_{\tau}$ [%] | 1.9    | 3.3  | 1.5        | 1.1  | 1.9  | 0.70 | 0.57        | 3.0  | 1.3   | 0.88 | 1.3  | 1.4         | 0.73 | 0.44         |

### Higgs@FutureColliders report (1905.03764)



Unitarity bounds gives a quantitative reason to improve precision outside of better precision

# DI-HIGGS INTERPLAY

Projected contours from Azatov et.al. 1502.00539 on di-Higgs from gluon fusion





### Vector boson di-Higgs production constrains hhVV

### COLLIDER TESTS OF JNITARITY VIOLATION



Searching for Unitarity violating processes (solid) has similar sensitivities to coupling measurement (dashed) for tth, hhh

Extension to tthh and VVhh?

25

Henning et.al. 1812.09299 See also Kilian et.al. 1808.05534, & Stolarski, Wu 2006.09374



# CONCLUSIONS

- Precision Higgs couplings can discover a SM deviation; unitarity violation gives quantitative connection btw coupling deviations and bound on new physics
- Higgs self-couplings, hVV, htt current bounds allow new physics at LHC energies and future sensitivities can still place bounds below 10 TeV (with different sensitivities)
- Higher order couplings (e.g. hhhh, hhVV, hhtt) are SMEFT-like if new physics scale is well above TeV scale. If no new physics accompanies coupling deviation, evidence for SMEFT-like structure

# CONCLUSIONS (CONT.)

- Di-Higgs searches test hhh, hhVV, hhtt couplings, with interesting interplay for new physics bounds
- Future direction I: Can we develop no lose theorems for the new physics accompanying a coupling deviations?
- Future direction 2: Are these amplitudes useful beyond unitarity violation? Are there better/stronger unitarity amplitudes?

THANKYOU

### EXTRA SLIDES

### VANDTOP COUPLINGS

Use a nonanalytic Higgs doublet  $P = \frac{H}{\sqrt{|H|^2}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}G^+/v\\ iG^0/v \end{pmatrix} + \cdots$ 

$$(m_W^2 W^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_Z^2 Z^2) \left( 1 + 2(1 + \delta_{hVV}) \frac{h}{v} + (1 + \delta_{hhVV}) \frac{h^2}{v^2} + c_3 \frac{h^3}{v^3} \right)$$
  
 
$$\rightarrow |DP|^2 \left( \delta_{hVV} v X + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{hhVV} X^2 + \frac{c_3}{2v} X^3 \right)$$

$$-m_t \overline{T}T \left[ 1 + (1 + \delta\kappa_t) \frac{h}{v} + \frac{1}{2}c_2 \left(\frac{h}{v}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{6}c_3 \left(\frac{h}{v}\right)^3 \right]$$
$$\rightarrow -m_t \overline{T}_R P\epsilon \left(\begin{array}{c}T_L\\B_L\end{array}\right) \left[ \delta\kappa_t \frac{X}{v} + \frac{1}{2}c_2 \left(\frac{X}{v}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{6}c_3 \left(\frac{X}{v}\right)^3 + \cdots \right] + h.c.$$