Strong First-Order Phase Transitions, Models and Probes

Peisi Huang University of Nebraska-Lincoln

High Energy Physics Seminar, Oklahoma State University Apr 1, 2021

- We have discovered the Higgs boson and measured its properties with precisions.
- However, we know very little about the Higgs potential.



vev, measured from G_F

- We have discovered the Higgs boson and measured its properties with precisions.
- However, we know very little about the Higgs potential.



- We have discovered the Higgs boson and measured its properties with precisions.
- However, we know very little about the Higgs potential.



Completely specify the Higgs potential in the SM, but *NOT* directly measured

$$V = -\mu^2 H^{\dagger} H + \lambda_h (H^{\dagger} H)^2$$
$$\mu^2 = m_h^2 / 2 \simeq (88 \text{GeV})^2 \text{eV})^2$$
$$\lambda_h = m_h^2 / 2v^2 \simeq 0.13 \qquad 13$$

- We have discovered the Higgs boson and measured its properties with precisions.
- However, we know very little about the Higgs potential.



What do we *want* to know about the Higgs?

• The shape of the Higgs potential is closely related to the electroweak phase transition.



Know nothing beyond v, and m_h

EW symmetry restored

Electroweak Phase Transitions

V(Φ)



• First Order?

- In the SM, the EW symmetry is broken by a smooth cross over.
- v (T) changes smoothly
- No energy barrier; no bubbles;
- no cosmological relics

Electroweak Phase Transitions

V(Φ)



- First Order Phase Transition
- v is discontinuous
- V_{eff} has a barrier, bubbles nucleated
- Possibly interesting cosmological relics!

New physics to generate a barrier







Probes?

Generate the Barrier

$$V(\phi,T) = \frac{m^2 + a_0 T^2}{2} \left(\phi^{\dagger}\phi\right) + \frac{\lambda}{4} \left(\phi^{\dagger}\phi\right)^2 + \frac{c_6}{8\Lambda^2} \left(\phi^{\dagger}\phi\right)^3$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \lambda_{3} = \frac{\partial^{3}V}{\partial\phi^{3}}\Big|_{\phi=v} = \frac{3m_{h}^{2}}{v}\left(1 + \frac{2c_{6}v^{4}}{m_{h}^{2}\Lambda^{2}}\right) \\ \begin{pmatrix} \phi^{\dagger}\phi \end{pmatrix}^{2} & \left(\phi^{\dagger}\phi\right)^{3} \quad \text{Critical temperature} \\ \hline \Phi & \text{vev at } \mathsf{T}_{c} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \phi^{\dagger}\phi \\ e^{c}\phi \\ e$$

Requiring first order phase transition

$$\frac{5}{3}\lambda_3^{SM} < \lambda_3 < 3\lambda_3^{SM}$$

Generate the Barrier – Adding Higher-dim Operators



Collider Probes – Double Higgs Production



De Florian and Mazzitelli, Grigo, Melnikov, and Steinhauser

Spira, figure from Barger, Everett, Jackson, and Shaughnessy







- The destructive interference occurs between the real part of the triangle and the box diagrams
- Above the tt threshold, the amplitudes develop imaginary parts, the cancellation does not occur
- When λ_3 increases, the amplitudes increases more below the tt threshold than above the threshold

• m_{hh} shifts to smaller value for large λ_3

Barger, Everett, Jackson, and Shaughnessy



SM: peaked at large invariant mass. A cut of $m_{hh} > 2m_{top}$ or something equivalent is currently used in both experimental and phenomenology studies. $\lambda_3 > 3\lambda_3^{SM}$, m_{hh} distribution is much softer than the SM case arxiv:1512.00068 18

How can we probe the new physics?

- Gravitational waves
- Collider
 - The trilinear coupling deviates significantly from the SM
 - Need to change the m_{hh} cut

Models

Heavy Scalar Singlet

$$V(\phi_h, \phi_s, T) = \frac{m_0^2 + a_0 T^2}{2} \phi_h^2 + \frac{\lambda_h}{4} \phi_h^4 + a_{hs} \phi_s \phi_h^2 + \frac{\lambda_{hs}}{2} \phi_s^2 \phi_h^2 + t_s \phi_s + \frac{m_s^2}{2} \phi_s^2 + \frac{a_s}{3} \phi_s^3 + \frac{\lambda_s}{4} \phi_s^4$$
Integrate out the singlet,

$$y = v^2/m_s^2. \qquad V_{eff}(H,T) = \frac{m_0^2 + a_0 T^2}{2} H^2 + \left(\frac{\lambda_h}{4} - \frac{z}{2y} - \frac{2m^2 z}{3v^2}\right) H^4 + \left(\frac{8z^2 - 4yz\lambda_h + 3yz\lambda_{hs}}{6v^2 y}\right) H^6.$$

$$z = \frac{(am_s^2 - t\lambda_{hs})^2 v^2}{m_s^8}$$

- Collider probes/constraints
 - Higgs signal strength
 - Resonance decaying to vector bosons and Higgs bosons
 - Electroweak precision observables



PH, A. Joglekar, B. Li, and C. Wagner, arxiv:1512.00068 PH, A. Hooper, and C. Wagner, work in progress

tors of dimension six and higher. Most of the and operators are irrelevant from the perspectiv Heavy Scalar Singlet, Lepter physics, except for one dimension-six operators and the effective Lagrangian:

The singlet kinetic term modifies the wave function of the physical and therefore shifts all Higgs $\mathcal{L}_{eff} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \frac{c_H}{m_{\phi}^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} |_{H}^2 \partial^{\mu} |_{H_{A_H}} \right) + \frac{c_H}{m_{\phi}^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} |_{H_{A_H}} \right) + \frac{c_H}$

 $\frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\mu}\phi_{s})(\partial^{\mu}\phi_{s}) \approx \frac{2a_{hs}^{2}}{m_{s}^{4}}(\Phi^{\dagger}\partial_{\mu}\Phi + \text{h.c.})^{2} \Big[1 + O(\lambda_{h}\phi^{\dagger}\Phi^{\dagger}a_{k}\phi^{\dagger}s) \text{ that are hirder out for our purposes.} \\ \text{ing to the full theory at the scale } m_{\phi}, \text{ we find } c_{H}^{\dagger}$

HL-LHC expects to measure the Higgs couplings to percent level (2)10% herator may be ex

for a linear combination c 24 hZZ coupling can be measured to high precision for a linear combination c lepton colliders.

hZZ coupling can be probed by the Higgsstratung process Large production cross section around 240 GeV to 250 GeV ~ 200 fb Expect 0.25% precision in hZZ coupling at future lepton introperiod in the sector of the formula in the sector of the formula in the sector of the formula in the sector of t



Heavy Scalar Singlet, Lepton Colliders, GWs







Current constraints: Higgs signal strength HL-LHC can start to probe the hZZ coupling to percent level Next generation lepton colliders can basically cover the whole region

Scalar Doublets

$$\tilde{Q} \sim (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, 1/3) \approx (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{3}}$$

$$\begin{split} V &= \frac{1}{2}m_{0}^{2}\phi_{h}^{2} + \frac{\lambda_{h}}{4}\phi_{h}^{4} & \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} + (\hat{b}_{\mu}\overline{Q})^{4}\psi_{b}(\underline{U})^{2}D_{\mu}\psi_{b}(\underline{U})^{2}D_{\mu}\psi_{b}(\underline{U})^{2}u_$$

Scalar Doublets, Collider Probes





Scalar Doublet, Modified di-photon coupling

$$A_{\tilde{t}} + A_{\tilde{b}}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} M_h^2/4M_{\tilde{t}_i}^2 \end{pmatrix} \qquad h \qquad \tilde{t}_1, \tilde{t}_2, \tilde{b}_1^{\dagger} \\ \tilde{t}_2/4M_{\tilde{b}}^2 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \gamma$$

$$\frac{+3(2\tau-1) \arcsin(\tau^{1/2})^2}{\tau^2}$$

$$\frac{\tau-1) \arcsin(\tau^{1/2})^2}{\tau^2}$$

$$\frac{\tau^{1/2}}{\tau^2}$$

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{h \to \gamma \gamma} &= G_F \, \alpha^2 \frac{M_h^3}{128 \sqrt{2} \pi^3} \Big| \overset{\text{bcb331be-bf04-4e1f-90fc-f5b4c251b5ba 3b}^2 \times 250 \text{ pixels}}{A_W + A_t + A_{\tilde{t}} + A_{\tilde{b}}} \Big|^2 \\ A_W &= F_1 \left(M_h^2 / 4 M_W^2 \right) \\ A_t &= \frac{4}{3} F_{1/2} \left(M_h^2 / 4 M_t^2 \right) \\ A_{\tilde{t}} &= \sum_{i=1,2} 3 \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^2 g_{h \tilde{t}_i \tilde{t}_i} \frac{v^2}{M_{\tilde{t}_i}^2} F_0 \left(M_h^2 / 4 M_{\tilde{t}_i}^2 \right) \\ A_{\tilde{b}} &= -3 \left(\frac{1}{3} \right)^2 g_{h \tilde{b} \tilde{b}} \frac{v^2}{M_{\tilde{b}}^2} F_0 \left(M_h^2 / 4 M_{\tilde{b}}^2 \right) \end{split}$$

 $F_1(au)=$ Djouadi, Driesen,Hollik,Illana, 2005

 $\tau + (\tau - 1) \arcsin(\tau^{1/2})^2$



PH, A. Long, L.T. Wang, arXiv:1608.06619

Fermions?

Integrating out new fermions?

Take a general vector-like fermion model,

$$\mathcal{L}_{VLL} = \overline{L}(i\gamma_{\mu}D_{L}^{\mu} - m_{L})L + \overline{E}'(i\gamma_{\mu}D_{E}^{\mu} - m_{E})E' + \overline{N}'(i\gamma_{\mu}D_{N}^{\mu} - m_{N})N' \\ - \left[\overline{L} H \left(y_{E_{L}}\mathbb{P}_{L} + y_{E_{R}}\mathbb{P}_{R}\right)E' + \overline{L} \widetilde{H} \left(y_{N_{L}}\mathbb{P}_{L} + y_{N_{R}}\mathbb{P}_{R}\right)N' + \text{h.c.}\right], \\ 16\pi^{2}\mathcal{L}_{H}^{CP} \supset + \left(-\frac{4}{3} + 2\log\frac{\mu^{2}}{m^{2}}\right)\left(|y_{N}|^{2} + |y_{E}|^{2}\right)|D_{\mu}H|^{2} \\ - \left(1 + 3\log\frac{\mu^{2}}{m^{2}}\right)\left(|y_{N}|^{2} + |y_{E}|^{2}\right)m^{2}|H|^{2} \\ + \left(\frac{16}{3} + 2\log\frac{\mu^{2}}{m^{2}}\right)\left(|y_{N}|^{4} + |y_{E}|^{4}\right)|H|^{4}, \\ - \frac{2\left(|y_{N}|^{6} + |y_{E}|^{6}\right)}{15m^{2}}\mathcal{O}_{6} \\ A. Angelescu, PH 2006.16532 \\ S. Ellis, J. Quevillon, P. Vuong, T. You, and Z. Zhang 2006.16260 \\ \end{cases}$$

Possible to have a barrier
$$f(H^{\dagger}H)^{3}$$
 from $(H^{\dagger}H)^{3}$ from $(H^{\dagger}H)^{2}$

Low T, scalars and fermions cohtribute equally

$$(H^{\dagger}H)^{2} - \frac{T^{2}m^{2}(\phi)}{2\pi^{2}} K_{2} (m(\phi)/T) + \mathcal{O}(T^{2}m(\phi)^{2}e^{-2m(\phi)/T})^{2}$$

Consider the possibility of generating a barrier through fermions 1

A Minimal Vector-Like Lepton (VLL) Model

- Fermion models for strong first order phase transitions?
 - Strong couplings to the Higgs!
- To avoid large mixing between the VLLs and SM leptons, and large contributions to the T parameter, we add

$$L_{L,R} = \binom{N}{E}_{L,R} \sim (1,2)_{-1/2}, \quad N'_{L,R} \sim (1,1)_0, \quad E'_{L,R} \sim (1,1)_{-1}$$

• The most general Lagrangian is,

$$-\mathcal{L}_{VLL} = y_{N_R} \overline{L}_L \tilde{H} N'_R + y_{N_L} \overline{N}'_L \tilde{H}^{\dagger} L_R + y_{E_R} \overline{L}_L H E'_R + y_{E_L} \overline{E}'_L H^{\dagger} L_R + m_L \overline{L}_L L_R + m_N \overline{N}'_L N'_R + m_E \overline{E}'_L E'_R + \text{h.c.} ,$$

A Minimal Vector-Like Lepton (VLL) Model

$$-\mathcal{L}_{VLL} = y_{N_R} \overline{L}_L \tilde{H} N'_R + y_{N_L} \overline{N}'_L \tilde{H}^{\dagger} L_R + y_{E_R} \overline{L}_L H E'_R + y_{E_L} \overline{E}'_L H^{\dagger} L_R + m_L \overline{L}_L L_R + m_N \overline{N}'_L N'_R + m_E \overline{E}'_L E'_R + \text{h.c.} ,$$

- 2 neutral and 2 charged VLLs
- Ranges of the parameters considered,

 $m_L, m_N, m_E \in [500, 1500] \text{ GeV}, \qquad y_{N_{L,R}}, y_{E_{L,R}} \in [2, \sqrt{4\pi}].$

- Constraints:
 - S & T parameters
 - Diphoton signal strength, $0.71 < \mu_{\gamma\gamma} < 1.29$ ATLAS, 1802.04146
 - Masses of the lighter states, $m_{E_1} > 100 \text{ GeV}$ and $m_{N_1} > 90 \text{ GeV}$

LEP2, Phys Rept 427(2006)257-454

• For each surviving point, calculate the phase transition strength, $\xi = \phi_c/T_c$

$$V(\phi, T) = V_{tree}^{SM}(\phi) + V_{1-loop}^{SM}(\phi, T) + V_{1-loop}^{VLL}(\phi, T) + V_{Daisy}(\phi, T)$$

• Benchmark A,

$$y_{N_L} \simeq 3.40, \ y_{N_R} \simeq 3.49, \ y_{E_L} \simeq 3.34, \ y_{E_R} \simeq 3.46,$$

 $m_L \simeq 1.06 \text{ TeV}, \ m_N \simeq 0.94 \text{ TeV}, \ m_E \simeq 1.34 \text{ TeV}.$

 $\mu_{\gamma\gamma} = 1.28, \ \Delta\chi^2(S,T) = 1.33, \ m_{N_1} = 400 \text{ GeV}, \ m_{E_1} = 592 \text{ GeV}.$

A. Angelescu, PH. 2018 ³³

 $T > T_c$?

T = T



Early universe, symmetric

EWSB



- The broken minimum becomes less and less deep
- A potential barrier starts developing between the symmetric phase and the broken phase
- At T_{c2} , a strong first order phase transition
- The universe tunnels back to the symmetric phase

EW symmetry restored







Responsible for the BAU 37

Signatures – Gravitational Waves



- Peak frequency beyond Lisa (f^{\sim} 0.01 -1 Hz is typical for VLL models)
- DECIGO, BBO, and AION are sensitive to the later phase transition
- The earlier one is too weak.

Signatures – Colliders, Direct Production

• N₁ can not be dark matter candidate – some mixing required.

$$-\mathcal{L}_{\text{mix}} = y_1 \,\overline{L}_L H \tau_R + y_2 \,\overline{L}_L^3 H E'_R + \text{h.c.} \,,$$

- From Wau v and Zau au measurements, take $y_1 = y_2 = 0.05$
- The SM fermion + VLL production is suppressed by the mixing
- The dominant production mode is the pair production of VLLs, the typical production cross section is around 0.1 to 0.4 fb.
- Direct searches at the LHC very challenging.

Signatures – Colliders, Indirect Searches



- At least 15% enhancement for the diphoton signal.
- Wil be fully tested at the HL-LHC.

Conclusion

How can we probe the new physics?



Conclusion

What kinds of models ?

- Scalar Singlets
- Scalar Doublets
- Fermions
- Many More!

